Volume 15 Issue 29



Escorting the Sotah

Masechet Sotah describes the process applied to a woman suspected of adultery. The process only begins if the woman was pre-warned against being in seclusion with a particular man and subsequently witnessed in seclusion with him. The Mishnah (1:3) explains that after those two incidents, the husband takes his wife to the Sanhedrin in Yerushalaim. The Chachamim maintain that they are joined by two talmidei chachamim to ensure that they do not have relations on the journey. R' Yehuda however argues that they can go alone. The Gemara (7a) initially explains that during their marriage the husband is trusted with being alone with his wife when she is in *niddah*. Considering that the prohibition of *niddah* is more severe, he should be trusted in our case. The Gemara however continues that that logic justifies the Chachamim's position. Considering that the prohibition of *Sotah* is more lenient than *niddah*, it heightens the concern justifying the need for them to be escorted. In other words, the severity of the prohibition is the deterrent, which is absent in our case.

The *Gemara* however continues by citing a *Beraita* that explains that *R' Yehuda*'s position is based on the *pasuk*, "and the husband will bring his wife to the *kohen*." The logic cited earlier in the *Gemara* requiring the escort, is presented in the *Beraita* in the name of *R' Yossi*. There the *Chachamim* respond differently, arguing that the prohibition of *niddah* is temporary; the drive to violate the prohibition is not strong, since she will soon be permitted. In this case however, if she indeed had an affair she would be prohibited to him forever. The challenge to resist violating the prohibition is greater, consequently the two *talmidei Chachamim* must join them.

Understanding the *Chachamim*'s two counter arguments is important. The *Tosfot R' Akiva Eiger* explains that the practical difference is found if the wife was a *niddah* when they go to *Yerushalaim*. According to the first argument, that the husband is trusted in the case of a *niddah* due to its severity, the *Chachamim* would agree in this case that they may travel alone. Since we have the added severe prohibition, there is no concern about prohibited activities on the journey. According to the second argument, that the husband is normally trusted when his wife is a *niddah* because the prohibition is temporary, they would still need to be accompanied – the issue of *Sotah* is permanent.

The *Tosfot R' Akiva Eiger* however cites the *Tosfot* who notes that *yichud*, being in seclusions with one who is an

issur arayot (prohibited relation), is a biblical law. Even if we may trust them, why can one be in yichud with his niddah wife in breach of this biblical law? The Tosfot answer that the biblical prohibition only covers relationships that are permanently prohibited, excluding niddah. The Tosfot R' Akiva Eiger therefore asks, if that the permit of yichud with one's wife who is a niddah does not apply to sotah, how could R' Yehuda (initially) use it as grounds to permit them go to Yerushalaim alone? The Amudei Ohr explains that this is not a question on R' Yossi for indeed this may have been the substance of the counter argument of the Chachamim. However according to R' Yehuda it is difficult to understand why he did not differentiate between niddah and sotah and why the Chachamim did not provide this distinction in their counter argument.

The Amudei Ohr cites the Gemara (Yoma 13b) that discusses a kohen gadol that, whilst in the middle of his service, hears that a close relative died. R' Yehuda argues that he must stop, since he is then prohibited for eating from the korbanot. R' Yossi however maintains he can continue – the prohibition is temporary as he will be permitted that evening. The Gemara however asks, that we find that if the kohen gadol's wife dies on Yom Kippur, R' Yehuda allows the kohen gadol to continue. The Gemara explains that the difference is that on Yom Kippur everyone is prohibited from eating. The Amudei *Ohr* notes that we find that *R' Yehuda* differentiates between objects prohibited to individuals and to everyone. He continues that R' Yehuda permits yichud with one's wife who is a niddah since unlike a relative, a woman in niddah is forbidden to everyone. Similarly in our case, R' Yehuda reasons that since the sotah is also prohibited to everyone equally, he can draw on *niddah* to allow them to travel alone.

This explains why the *Chachamim* do not respond with the same argument they provided to *R' Yossi. R' Yossi* understands that the basis for *yichud* with a *niddah* wife being is that the prohibition is temporary, much like the *Tosfot* explain. Consequently, the *Chachamim* argue that the prohibition of *Sotah* is (potentially) permanent. *R' Yehuda*'s basis of the permit of *yichud* with a wife in *niddah* is based on her being universally prohibited. Consequently, the *Chachamim* respond by pointing to the flaw in using the severity of the prohibition as a basis for drawing the conclusion.

Yisrael Bankier

Revision Questions

נזיר זי:גי – טי:הי

- List some of the forms of *tumat met* that do not cause a *nazir* to forfeit all the days already observed? ('x: 'Y)
- Regarding the previous questions, would the *nazir* be required to bring a *korban* as a result of becoming *tameh met* in those manners? (γ: 'λ')
- If a nazir become a zav, do those days of tumat hazav count towards his nezirut? (יג' ול)
- According to *R' Eliezer* for what other *halacha* is the distinction between forms of *tumat hamet* important? (יד: ידי)
- What is the law regarding a case where a person says to two *nazirs*, "I saw that one of you became *tumat hamet* but I am not sure which one"? (רוי:איז)
- Regarding the previous case, what are the two opinions if one of the *nazir*s then dies? (מי:איי)
- What is the law regarding a *nazir* that is *tameh b'safek* and *muchlat b'safek*?
 (מי: בי)
- Can a goi become a nazir? (טי: איי)
- What is the difference between where a person is meifer his wife's neder to became a nazir and where a person is meifer his servant's neder to become a nazir? (ט: איי)
- Which form of tumat hamet does not forfeit any days, if it is discovered after a nazir has completed his term? Provide an examples of this form of tumat hamet. (ט: בי)
- When is an area defined as *shechunat kevarot* and why is it important? (טי: גי)
- What are the seven ways to check a *zav* and after which point do these checks not apply? (טי:דיי)
- Aside from *Shimshon* which other *navi* was a *nazir*? (טי: היי)

סוטה אי:אי – הי

- In the first *Mishnah*, about what is the debate between *R' Eliezer* and *R' Yehoshua?* (א:'א')
- What constitutes a warning for the purposes of *sotah*? (א': ב'י)
- What are the five cases where a *sotah* does not drink *mei sotah*? (א': ג'י)
- Where was a sotah first taken? (א':ד')
- What was the first thing they would do to a *sotah*? (א':ד'י)
- What would happen if a *sotah* admitted to having an affair? (אי: היי)
- If a sotah maintained her innocence, to where was she next taken? (אי: היי)

Melbourne, Australia

Sunday -Thursday 10 minutes before *Mincha* <u>Mizrachi Shul</u> Melbourne, Australia

Friday & Shabbat 10 minutes before *Mincha* <u>Mizrachi Shul</u> Melbourne, Australia

> **Efrat, Israel** Shiur in English

Sunday -Thursday Rabbi Mordechai Scharf 9:00am <u>Kollel Magen Avraham</u> Reemon Neighbourhood

ONLINE SHIURIM

Yisrael Bankier mishnahyomit.com/shiurim

Rabbi Chaim Brown www.shemayisrael.com/mishna/

Rabbi E. Kornfeld Rabbi C. Brown http://www.dafyomi.co.il/calend ars/myomi/myomi-thisweek.htm

SHIUR ON KOL HALOSHON

Rabbi Moshe Meir Weiss In US dial: 718 906 6400 Then select: 1 – 2 – 4

Next Week's Mishnayot...

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	שבת קודש
12 August אי אלול	13 August בי אלול	14 August גי אלול	15 August די אלול	16 August הי אלול	17 August וי אלול	18 August זי אלול
Sotah 1:6-7	Sotah 1:8-9	Sotah 2:1-2	Sotah 2:3-4	Sotah 2:5-6	Sotah 3:1-2	Sotah 3:3-4

