Volume 10. Issue 29



Shevuat Edut Be'Shogeg

During our study this week we have covered many different categories of *shevuot* (oaths). The most recent is the *shevuat edut*. This is where one falsely swears that they have knowledge of incidents surrounding a case and can therefore not act as a witness. One of the things that set this *shevuah* apart from others is when one is punishment.

If one deliberately violates his *shevuat bitui* (a regular oath) then he would be liable to lashes. If the transgression is inadvertent (*be'shogeg*) then he would be required to bring a *korban oleh ve'yored* (see last weeks issue). We have also learnt that if one deliberately make a *shevuat shav* (an empty/meaningless oath) then he too would be liable to lashes; *be'shogeg* he would be exempt. We find however by *shevuat edut* it is a <u>deliberate</u> violation that obligates one to bring a *korban oleh ve'yored*. The *Mishnah* (4:2) teaches that this is the case if they intentionally lied, whether or not they knew that the violation would be obligated one to bring a *korban*. The *Mishnah* continues that in a case of *shogeg* however they would be exempt. What exactly constitutes a case of *shogeg* as stated in the *Mishnah* with respect to *shevuat edut* is the subject of debate.

First however, we shall look at the Gemara (31b). The Gemara asks that this Mishnah appears to be the source of a ruling discussed in an earlier Gemara (26a), yet it is not cited as being so. Rav Kahana and Rav Assi debated regarding the opinion of *Rav*. Each of them made a *shevuah* asserting their position. When they eventually met Rav and one of them was proven wrong, they asked whether he violated a shevuat bitui. Rav responded in the negative since he was "forced" by his heart because he sincerely thought he was right - it is a case of ones. The Gemara responds that this Mishnah could not have been used to resolve the question regarding the shevuat bitui. Since the term "ne'elam" is not used in the Torah with shevuat edut the shogeg that obligates one for a korban must be similar to the meizid; as the Mishnah states, they lied but did not know that they are obligated to bring a korban. Since however the term "ne'elam" is used with respect to a shevuat bitui, one might have thought that even the smallest amount of shogeg would have obligated him. Rav's separate ruling was therefore required.

What then is the *shogeg* that exempts in our case? *Rashi* understands that they honestly thought they had no knowledge of the case - only later however they remembered. The *Tosfot* however ask that if that was the case, then that is obvious that they are exempt - they were not lying when they made the *shevuah*! It cannot be defined as *shogeg* since it does not even qualify as a violation of a *shevuat edut*. They suggest that perhaps *Rashi* meant that they swore "we did not know" or "we did not see" which would indeed be false.

The *Tosfot* however suggest that that the *shogeg* here is that the witnesses did not know that there was a prohibition to swear falsely. The *Ramban* who brings a *Yerushalmi* that explains in a similar manner adds that thinking that a prohibition is permitted, is normally considered *shogeg* and would not exempt one for a *korban*. With respect to a *shevuat edut* however, since the prohibition is violated by speech alone it is not enough to obligate one to bring a *korban* if he believed it was permitted.

The difficulty the *Tosfot Yom Tov* has with this explanation is that that law could have already been derived from the first two cases. Recall that the *Mishnah* first taught that if one deliberately swore falsely or the lied and knew it was prohibited to do so but did not know they would be obligated to bring a *korban*, then in both cases they would be required to bring a *korban*. Since those two cases are specifically mentioned, it implies that if one did not know it was prohibited they would be exempt. The last statement of the *Mishnah* therefore must be teaching us something new.

The *Ran* however suggests that *Rashi* means that when they swore, they indeed did know. Had they given it a moment's thought they would have realised, so they were not telling the truth. Yet since they were flustered and believed they were telling the truth, they are *shogegim* and exempt. This then aligns more closely with the case of *Rav Kahana* and *Rav Ashi* where their heart compelled them to make a *shevuah*.

Yisrael Yitzchak Bankier

Revision Questions

שבועות בי הי די בי

- whether the person would bring a *korban oleh ve 'yored*? (בי:הי)
 - Complete the following expression and explain: (ג' אי)
 - שבועות____ שהן ____
- What is the law if someone made a *shevuah* not to eat, and:
 - They ate <u>and</u> drank? (גי:אי)
 - They ate three different type of bread? (ג׳:ב׳)
- Regarding the previous question, when would the law be different? (ג׳:א׳ ב׳)
- What other case is brought that shares a similar law to the previous two questions? (x_1, x_2)
- If a person made a *shevuah* not to eat, and he ate, when would he not be *chayav*? (*r*:*r*)
- Explain the debate regarding a person who made a *shevuah* not to eat, then ate *neveilot* and *tereifot*. ('T: 'x)
- The Mishnah explains that shevuot apply to four extra categories what are they? Explain. (κ': : ה')
- If a man made a *shevuah* to fulfill the *mitzvah* of *tefillin* and missed a day is he obligated to bring a *korban*? (*v*): *v*)
- Explain *R' Yehuda ben Beteira's* opinion regarding the previous question and the *Chachamim's* counter argument. (*x*: *x*)
- What is the punishment for one that transgresses a *shevuat bituii*? (x::r)
- What is the difference between one that transgresses a *shevuat bitui* and a *shevuat shav*? (*v*: *v*)
- What is a *shevuat shav*? Include three different types. (ג׳:ח׳)
- Provide a case where a person makes two similar *shevuot* and the first is defined as a *shevuat bitui* and the second is defined as a *shevuat shav*. (v:v)
- Can a *shevuat bitui* be made outside of *beit din?* (*v*: *v*)
- How can someone make a *shevuat shav* by only saying one word? (ג׳: י״א)
- Does a *shevuat edut* apply outside of *beit din*? (די:אי)
- When does *R' Meir* differ with the *Chachamim* regarding the previous question? (די:אי)
- How does the scope of one who can make a *shevuat edut* differ from one who can make a *shevuat bitui*? (ד׳ :א׳)
- Describe a case involving *shegaga* in a *shevuat edut* where the person would still be obligated to bring a *korban*. (ד':ב')

Local Shiurim

Melbourne, Australia

Sunday -Thursday 10 minutes before *Mincha* <u>Mizrachi Shul</u> Melbourne, Australia

Friday & Shabbat 10 minutes before *Mincha* <u>Beit Ha'Roeh</u> Melbourne, Australia

> **Efrat, Israel** *Shiur in English*

Sunday -Thursday Rabbi Mordechai Scharf 9:00am Kollel Magen Avraham Reemon Neighbourhood

ONLINE SHIURIM

Rabbi Chaim Brown www.shemayisrael.com/mishna/

> Rav Meir Pogrow 613.org/mishnah.html

Rabbi E. Kornfeld Rabbi C. Brown http://www.dafyomi.co.il/calend ars/myomi/myomi-thisweek.htm

SHIUR ON KOL HALOSHON

Rabbi Moshe Meir Weiss In US dial: 718 906 6400 Then select: 1 - 2 - 4

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	שבת קודש
1 st September כייו אלול	2 nd September כ״ז אלול	3 rd September כייח אב	4 th September כייט אלול	5 th September אי תשרי	6 th September ב׳ תשרי	7 th September גי תשרי
Shevuot 4:3-4	Shevuot 4:5-6	Shevuot 4:7-8	Shevuot 4:9-10	Shevuot 4:11- 12	Shevuot 4:13- 5:1	Shevuot 5:2-3

Next Week's Mishnayot...